MAX5468EUT Rev. A

**RELIABILITY REPORT** 

FOR

### MAX5468EUT

PLASTIC ENCAPSULATED DEVICES

August 5, 2003

# **MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS**

120 SAN GABRIEL DR.

SUNNYVALE, CA 94086

Written by

eA

Jim Pedicord Quality Assurance Reliability Lab Manager

Reviewed by

ull

Bryan J. Preeshl Quality Assurance Executive Director

#### Conclusion

The MAX5468 successfully meets the quality and reliability standards required of all Maxim products. In addition, Maxim's continuous reliability monitoring program ensures that all outgoing product will continue to meet Maxim's quality and reliability standards.

### **Table of Contents**

I. .....Device Description II. .....Manufacturing Information III. .....Packaging Information V. .....Quality Assurance Information VI. .....Reliability Evaluation IV. .....Die Information .....Attachments

## I. Device Description

A. General

The MAX5468 linear-taper digital potentiometer performs the same function as a mechanical potentiometer or a variable resistor. This device consists of a fixed resistor and a wiper contact with 32-tap points that are digitally controlled through a 2-wire serial interface.

The 10k MAX5468 is available in a space-saving 6-pin SOT23 packages.

B. Absolute Maximum Ratings	
ltem	Rating
VDD to GND All Other Pins to GND Input and Output Latch-Up Immunity Maximum Continuous Current into H, L, and W Operating Temperature Range Junction Temperature Storage Temperature Range Soldering Temperature (soldering, 10s)	-0.3V to +6V -0.3V to (VDD + 0.3)V ±200mA ±0.6mA -40°C to +85°C +150°C -65°C to +150°C +300°C
Continuous Power Dissipation (TA = +70°C)	COZ
6-Pin SOT23 Derates above +70°C	697mW
6-Pin SOT23	8.7mW/°C

# II. Manufacturing Information

A. Description/Function:	32-Tap FleaPoT™, 2-Wire Digital Potentiometers
B. Process:	S6 (Standard 0.6 micron silicon gate CMOS)
C. Number of Device Transistors:	792
D. Fabrication Location:	California, USA
E. Assembly Location:	Malaysia, Philippines or Thailand
F. Date of Initial Production:	January, 2001

# III. Packaging Information

A. Package Type:	6-Pin SOT23
B. Lead Frame:	Copper
C. Lead Finish:	Solder Plate
D. Die Attach:	Silver-Filled Epoxy
E. Bondwire:	Gold (1 mil dia.)
F. Mold Material:	Epoxy with silica filler
G. Assembly Diagram:	#05-3401-0005
H. Flammability Rating:	Class UL94-V0
I. Classification of Moisture Sensitivity per JEDEC standard JESD22-112:	Level 1

# IV. Die Information

A. Dimensions:	36 x 31 mils
B. Passivation:	$Si_3N_4/SiO_2$ (Silicon nitride/ Silicon dioxide)
C. Interconnect:	Aluminum/Si (Si = 1%)
D. Backside Metallization:	None
E. Minimum Metal Width:	0.6 microns (as drawn)
F. Minimum Metal Spacing:	0.6 microns (as drawn)
G. Bondpad Dimensions:	5 mil. Sq.
H. Isolation Dielectric:	SiO <sub>2</sub>
I. Die Separation Method:	Wafer Saw

#### V. Quality Assurance Information

Α.	Quality Assurance Contacts:	Jim Pedicord (Manager, Reliability Operations)
		Bryan Preeshl (Executive Director)
		Kenneth Huening (Vice President)

- B. Outgoing Inspection Level: 0.1% for all electrical parameters guaranteed by the Datasheet. 0.1% For all Visual Defects.
- C. Observed Outgoing Defect Rate: < 50 ppm
- D. Sampling Plan: Mil-Std-105D

#### VI. Reliability Evaluation

A. Accelerated Life Test

The results of the 135°C biased (static) life test are shown in **Table 1**. Using these results, the Failure Rate ( $\lambda$ ) is calculated as follows:

 $\lambda = \frac{1}{\text{MTTF}} = \frac{1.83}{192 \times 4389 \times 158 \times 2}$  (Chi square value for MTTF upper limit) Temperature Acceleration factor assuming an activation energy of 0.8eV

λ = 6.96 x 10<sup>-9</sup>

 $\lambda$  = 6.96 F.I.T. (60% confidence level @ 25°C)

This low failure rate represents data collected from Maxim's reliability monitor program. In addition to routine production Burn-In, Maxim pulls a sample from every fabrication process three times per week and subjects it to an extended Burn-In prior to shipment to ensure its reliability. The reliability control level for each lot to be shipped as standard product is 59 F.I.T. at a 60% confidence level, which equates to 3 failures in an 80 piece sample. Maxim performs failure analysis on any lot that exceeds this reliability control level. Attached Burn-In Schematic (Spec. # 06-5688) shows the static Burn-In circuit. Maxim also performs quarterly 1000 hour life test monitors. This data is published in the Product Reliability Report (**RR-1M**).

#### B. Moisture Resistance Tests

Maxim pulls pressure pot samples from every assembly process three times per week. Each lot sample must meet an LTPD = 20 or less before shipment as standard product. Additionally, the industry standard 85°C/85%RH testing is done per generic device/package family once a quarter.

#### C. E.S.D. and Latch-Up Testing

The DP07-2 die type has been found to have all pins able to withstand a transient pulse of  $\pm 2500$ V per Mil-Std-883 Method 3015 (reference attached ESD Test Circuit). Latch-Up testing has shown that this device withstands a current of  $\pm 250$ mA.

### Table 1 Reliability Evaluation Test Results

### MAX5468EUT

TEST ITEM	TEST CONDITION	FAILURE IDENTIFICATION	PACKAGE	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF FAILURES
Static Life Test	: (Note 1)				
	Ta = 135°C Biased Time = 192 hrs.	DC Parameters & functionality		158	0
Moisture Testir	ng (Note 2)				
Pressure Pot	Ta = 121°C P = 15 psi. RH= 100% Time = 168hrs.	DC Parameters & functionality	SOT23	77	0
85/85	Ta = 85°C RH = 85% Biased Time = 1000hrs.	DC Parameters & functionality		77	0
Mechanical Str	ess (Note 2)				
Temperature Cycle	-65°C/150°C 1000 Cycles Method 1010	DC Parameters & functionality		77	0

Note 1: Life Test Data may represent plastic DIP qualification lots. Note 2: Generic Package/Process data

# Attachment #1


	Terminal A (Each pin individually connected to terminal A with the other floating)	Terminal B (The common combination of all like-named pins connected to terminal B)
1.	All pins except V <sub>PS1</sub> <u>3/</u>	All $V_{PS1}$ pins
2.	All input and output pins	All other input-output pins


TABLE II. Pin combination to be tested. 1/2/


- 1/ Table II is restated in narrative form in 3.4 below.
- $\frac{\ddot{2}}{3}$  No connects are not to be tested.  $\underline{3}$  Repeat pin combination I for each named Power supply and for ground

(e.g., where  $V_{PS1}$  is  $V_{DD}$ ,  $V_{CC}$ ,  $V_{SS}$ ,  $V_{BB}$ , GND,  $+V_{S}$ ,  $-V_{S}$ ,  $V_{REF}$ , etc).

- 3.4 Pin combinations to be tested.
  - Each pin individually connected to terminal A with respect to the device ground pin(s) connected a. to terminal B. All pins except the one being tested and the ground pin(s) shall be open.
  - Each pin individually connected to terminal A with respect to each different set of a combination b. of all named power supply pins (e.g., V<sub>SS1</sub>, or V<sub>SS2</sub> or V<sub>SS3</sub> or V<sub>CC1</sub>, or V<sub>CC2</sub>) connected to terminal B. All pins except the one being tested and the power supply pin or set of pins shall be open.
  - Each input and each output individually connected to terminal A with respect to a combination of c. all the other input and output pins connected to terminal B. All pins except the input or output pin being tested and the combination of all the other input and output pins shall be open.







DOCUMENT I.D. 06-5688